Assessment Details

Print   Return

SCORE: 3.2   Toepke, Kimberly

🕐 **SUBMITTED** 2022-08-11 15:53:28

🕐 **ASSESSED** 2022-08-11 16:05:41   ✅ Results Seen 2022-08-11 19:43:54

👤 **ASSESSOR** Taylor, Mike

✔ **TYPE** Manual

☰ **TOC** n/a

▦ **INSTRUMENT** KAA-6b Research Capstone Rubric

OVERALL COMMENT: *None*

Assessed Criteria

Criterion	Description	Score		Comments
1. Problem Statement as Basis for Study: Master's candidate succinctly describes a problem statement that is significant to the field of study		1.0 ▬▬ **4.0**	4.0	
2. Background of the Problem: Master's candidate provides relevant context* that justifies the study *refers to historical, social, and/or cultural context		1.0 ▬▬ **4.0**	4.0	
3. Research Question(s): Master's candidate clearly articulates the research question(s) that reflects a topic able to be studied through appropriate research methodologies		1.0 ▬▬ **4.0**	4.0	
4. Research Question(s) Tied to Methodologies: Master's candidate selects appropriate research methodology(-ies) to yield information that responds to the research question(s)		1.0 ▬ **3.0**	4.0	
5. Theoretical Framework: Master's candidate sets a solid foundation for the study by employing the most applicable major sources* *refers to foundational studies and up and through current studies on the topic		1.0 ▬ **3.0**	4.0	
6. Theoretical Framework: Master's candidate objectively analyzes the problem through the lens of the chosen theoretical framework		1.0 ▬ **3.0**	4.0	
7. Literature Review: Master's candidate writes an in-depth Literature Review that objectively analyzes applicable scholarly literature		1.0 ▬ **3.0**	4.0	
8. Literature Review: Master's candidate demonstrates ability to write a thorough literature review that is structured appropriately		1.0 ▬ **3.0**	4.0	
9. Methodologies: Master's candidate explains how he/she collected scholarly articles that yielded information that contributes to answering their research question		1.0 ▬ **3.0**	4.0	
10. Methodologies: Master's candidate justifies authors' usage of their chosen research methodologies* for their research question(s). *refers to qualitative, quantitative, or mixed methods		1.0 ▬ **3.0**	4.0	
11. Methodologies: Representation: Master's candidate clearly articulates how authors ensured adequate representation (i.e., sampling methods) of populations/phenomenon studied		1.0 ▬ **3.0**	4.0	
12. Methodologies: Limiting Participant Risk: Master's candidate articulates how authors limited risk* of their participants (*refers to informed consent, legal permissions, ethical treatment, clearly articulated protocols, and secure storage of data)		1.0 ▬ **3.0**	4.0	
13. Methodologies: Instruments: Master's candidate rationalizes the authors' use of instruments employed in their study		1.0 ▬ **3.0**	4.0	
14. Methodologies: Objectivity of Researcher: Candidate demonstrates ability to ensure objectivity/suspend bias* in his/her role as researcher *refers to claiming prior knowledge and experience, bias; steps taken to prevent bias collecting/analyzing data		1.0 ▬ **3.0**	4.0	
15. Methodologies: Analysis of Data: Master's candidate articulates appropriate data analysis procedures* that tie directly to the research question *refers to coding- themes- assertions, &/or statistical analysis as appropriate to selected methodology		1.0 ▬ **3.0**	4.0	
16. Results: Master's candidate accurately interprets results by supporting findings with evidence that refers to the research question(s)		1.0 ▬ **3.0**	4.0	
17. Limitations of the Study: Master's candidate is able to articulate practical limitations of the study that increase its credibility		1.0 ▬ **3.0**	4.0	
18. Conclusion: Synthesizing Results Master's candidate articulately synthesizes the findings/outcomes of the study that link directly to the research question(s)		1.0 ▬ **3.0**	4.0	
19. Conclusion: Master's candidate substantiates a coherent argument/claim with appropriate evidence from study		1.0 ▬ **3.0**	4.0	
20. Overall: Master's candidate provides proper credit to sources of information that upholds all policy/guidelines* *refers to APA guidelines, codes of ethics, FERPA and all laws		1.0 ▬ **3.0**	4.0	

Annotated Documents

Comments on Page Content